

Goyal teaches: "...the present personal information manager is based on what may be referred to as a tag paradigm, which allows different types of information to be entered and from a single screen display in a consistent manner, and likewise allows different types of information to be retrieved from a single screen display in a consistent manner." (Col 4, lines 15 – 21) Goyal further teaches that "A tag identifies and entry of being of a particular type" (Col 5, lines 5 – 6) and that "Tags provide a powerful way of both entering and retriving information from the personal information manager without entering different operational modes or navigating through a deep hierarchy of screen displays." (Col 5, lines 13 – 17)

In contrast, Applicant's claim 1 recites a combination including in pertinent part:

"...wherein, in response to said list container object specifying a corresponding list item data object for each list item renderer object, each list item renderer object is executable to display the list item data object in the display device of the small footprint device."

Goyal teaches a personal information manager based on a tag paradigm, wherein various types of information are associated with a specific tag, and wherein tags are used to organize the input and retrieval of information. Applicant can find no language in Goyal that teaches or suggests a system wherein "each list item renderer object is executable to display the list item data object in the display device of the small footprint device."

Applicant further notes that the Examiner's characterization of Goyal, in which "each lit item renderer object (tag type) provides associated list item data objects (entries) for display" differs from Applicant's claim 1, wherein a "list container object is executable to specify a corresponding list item data object" and wherein "in response to said list container object specifying a corresponding list item data object for each list item renderer object, each list item renderer object is executable to display the list item data object in the display device..."

Accordingly claim 1, along with its dependent claims 1 – 6, is believed to patentably distinguish over Goyal.

Claims 7 and 13 recite features similar to those of claim 1. Accordingly claims 7 and 13, along with their dependent claims 8 – 12 and 14 – 19 are believed to patentably distinguish over Goyal for at least the reasons given above.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing remarks, Applicant respectfully submits the application is now in condition for allowance, and an early notice to that effect is requested.

No fees are believed necessary; however , the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel, P.C. Deposit Account No. 50-1505\5181-53900\BNK.

Respectfully submitted,



B. Noël Kivlin
Reg. No. 33,929
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT(S)

Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert & Goetzel, P.C.
P.O. Box 398
Austin, Texas 78767-0398
Phone: (512) 853-8800
Date: 6-25-03